

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.eapo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,098	06/16/2006	Ralf Boehnke	287953US8X PCT	1264
22850 7590 II/12/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			ROBERTS, BRIAN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2466		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com igardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583.098 BOEHNKE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BRIAN ROBERTS 2466 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 27-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2006 is/are; a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/583,098 Page 2

Art Unit: 2466

DETAILED ACTION

· Claims 27-42 remain pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

The reference "Millennial Net" cited on the information disclosure statement filed 09/29/2009 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because there is not a date listed.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains embedded hyperlinks. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlinks. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Objections

Claim 42 is objected to because of the following informalities:

 Claim 42 line 3, "power higher consumption" should read --higher power consumption--

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2466

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 27-33, 40, and 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrender et al. (US 2005/0156039) in view of Tiernay et al. (US 2001/0050922), and further in view of Rotzoll (US 5790946).
 - In reference to claim 27

In Figure 1, Carrender et al. teaches an heterogeneous wireless data transmission network that includes a reader 101 (master node) (paragraph 0018-0019); a class III tag (passive slave node) including inherently a first passive transmitter configured to modulate and reflect external RF signals, said class III tag (passive slave node) being configured to transmit data to the reader 101 (master node) by modulated backscatter communication using the first passive transmitter (paragraph 0030); and an class IV tag (active slave node) said class IV tag (active slave node) node being configured to transmit data to the class III tag (passive slave node) (paragraph 0031).

Carrender et al. does not teach that the class IV tag (active slave node) includes a second passive transmitter configured to modulate and reflect external RF signals and a first active transmitter configured to transmit a modulated signal independently, and configured transmit the data to the class III tag (passive slave node) using the first active transmitter.

In Figure 1, Tiernay et al. teaches a transponder **100** includes a passive transmitter configured to modulate and reflect external RF signals and a first active transmitter configured to transmit a modulated signal independently, and configured to transmit data using an active transmitter. (paragraphs 0050-0051)

Art Unit: 2466

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Carrender et al. to include the class IV tag (active slave node) including a second passive transmitter configured to modulate and reflect external RF signals and a first active transmitter configured to transmit a modulated signal independently, and configured to transmit the data to the class III tag (passive slave node) using the first active transmitter as suggested by Tiernay et al. because it allows the class IV tag to perform both active transmissions and modulated backscatter transmissions.

The combination of Carrender et al. and Tiernay et al. does not teach that the wherein the reader 101 (master node) is configured to wake up the class III tag (passive slave node) or the class IV tag (active slave node) from a sleep state at any time by transmitting a wake-up signal to the class III tag (passive slave node) or the class IV tag (active slave node).

In Figure 1, Rotzoll teaches a transmitter 10 and a receiver 12. The transmitter 10 is configured to wake up the receiver 12 from a sleep state at any time by transmitting a wake-up signal. (column 2 lines 36 - column 3 line 26)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of the combination of Carrender et al. and Tiernay et al. the reader 101 (master node) being configured to wake up the class III tag (passive slave node) or the class IV tag (active slave node) from a sleep state at any time by transmitting a wake-up signal to the class III tag (passive slave node) or the class IV tag (active slave node) as suggested by Rotzoll because it allows the class III tag (passive

Art Unit: 2466

slave node) and the class IV tag (active slave node) to save power by switching to a sleep mode during periods of activity while still being able to communicate with reader 101 (master node) by switching to an active mode upon request of the reader 101 (master node).

In reference to claim 28

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim. In Figure 1, Carrender et al. further teaches that another class IV tag (active slave node). (paragraph 0018-0019; 0031)

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll does not teach that the class IV tag (active slave node) is configured to wake up the class III tag (passive slave node) or another class IV tag (active slave node) from a sleep state at any time by transmitting a wake-up signal to the class III tag (passive slave node) or the another class IV tag (active slave node).

In Figure 1, Rotzoll teaches a transmitter 10 and a receiver 12. The transmitter is configured to wake up the receiver 12 from a sleep state at any time by transmitting a wake-up signal. (column 2 lines 36 - column 3 line 26)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of the combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll to include the class IV tag (active slave node) being configured to wake up the class III tag (passive slave node) or another class IV tag (active slave node) from a

Art Unit: 2466

sleep state at any time by transmitting a wake-up signal to the class III tag (passive slave node) or the another class IV tag (active slave node) as suggested by Rotzoll because it allows the class III tag (passive slave node) and the another class IV tag (active slave node) to save power by switching to a sleep mode during periods of activity while still being able to communicate with class IV tag (active slave node) by switching to an active mode upon request of the class IV tag (active slave node).

In reference to claim 29

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim. In Figure 1, Carrender et al. further teaches that the reader 101 (master node) further comprises a second active transmitter configured to transmit data to the first active transmitter of the class IV tag (active slave node). (paragraph 0018-0019)

In reference to claim 30

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim. In Figure 1, Carrender et al. further teaches that the class III tag (passive slave node) further comprises a processing unit configured to process and create dynamic data for transmission by the first passive transmitter. (paragraph 0029-0030; 0023)

- In reference to claim 31

Art Unit: 2466

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim. In Figure 1, Carrender et al. further teaches that the class III tag (*passive slave node*) includes a power supply. (paragraph 0029-0030; 0023)

In reference to claim 32

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim. In Figure 1, Carrender et al. further teaches that the class IV tag (active slave node) further includes a sensor element configured to detect operational parameters of the class IV tag (active slave node) or environmental data. (paragraph 0027)

- In reference to claim 33

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim. In Figure 1, Carrender et al. further teaches that the class III tag (passive slave node) or class IV tag (active slave node) further includes a remotely controllable actuator element configured to execute programmable actions. (paragraph 0027)

In reference to claim 40

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim. In Figure 1, Carrender et al.

Art Unit: 2466

further teaches that the network is configured in a hybrid star or meshed topology.
(paragraph 0018-0019)

In reference to claim 42

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim. In Figure 1, Carrender et al. further teaches that the reader 101 (master node) includes a active receiver that has a power higher consumption and sensitivity than the first passive receiver in the class III tag (passive slave node) or the second passive received in the class IV tag (active slave node) or the second passive receiver in the class IV tag (passive slave node) or the second passive receiver in the class IV tag (active slave node) has a lower power consumption and sensitivity than the active receiver in the reader 101 (master node). (paragraph 0018-0019)

- Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Carrender et al. (US 2005/0156039) in view of Tiernay et al. (US 2001/0050922), and in view of Rotzoll (US 5790946), as applied to the parent claims, and further in view of
 Overhultz et al. (US 2004/0056091).
 - In reference to claim 34

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim.

Art Unit: 2466

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll do not teach a second reader (*master node*), wherein the class III tag (*passive slave node*) or the class IV tag (*active slave node*) is configured to transmit data to the reader 101 (*master node*) by modulating and reflecting an external signal transmitted from the second reader (*master node*).

In Figure 7, Overhultz et al. teaches a plurality of tag readers 12 (master nodes), wherein a tag 10 is configured to transmit data to a tag reader 12 (master node) by modulating and reflecting an external signal transmitted from a second tag reader 12 (master node), (paragraphs 0089: 0062)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of the combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll to include a second reader (master node), wherein the class III tag (passive slave node) or the class IV tag (active slave node) is configured to transmit data to the reader 101 (master node) by modulating and reflecting an external signal transmitted from the second reader (master node) as suggested by Overhultz et al. because it allows the class III tag (passive slave node) or the class IV tag (active slave node) to utilize the RF energy from a second reader (master node) in order to communicate with the reader 101 (master node).

Claims 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Reis et al. (US 5640151) Carrender et al. (US 2005/0156039) in view of Tiernay et al.
 (US 2001/0050922), in view of Rotzoll (US 5790946), and in view of Overhultz et al. (US

Art Unit: 2466

2004/0056091) as applied to the parent claims, and further in view of Reis et al. (US 5640151).

In reference to claim 35-39

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., Rotzoll, and Overhultz et al. teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim.

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., Rotzoll, and Overhultz et al. does not teach that the wake-up signal further includes group or individual identification information, the class III tag (passive slave node) or the class IV tag (active slave node) is configured to switch from the sleep state to an identification information detection state upon reception of the wake-up signal, the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node), in the identification information detection state, is configured to switch to a control data reception state for receiving control data when the wake-up signal includes identification information identifying the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node), respectively, and the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node), in the identification information detection state, is configured to switch to the sleep state if the wake-up signal does not include said identification information identifying the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node), respectively.

Reis et al. teaches a transmitter sending a wake-up signal that includes address (identification) information to a group of tags, each tag is configured to switch from a sleep state to an identification information detection state upon reception of the wake-up signal, each tag in the identification information detection state, is configured to switch

Art Unit: 2466

to a control data reception state for receiving control data when the wake-up signal includes address (*identification*) information identifying the tag, respectively, and each tag, in the identification information detection state, is configured to switch to the sleep state if the wake-up signal does not include said address (*identification*) information identifying the tag. (column 5 lines 3-25)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of the combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., Rotzoll, and Overhultz et al. to include the wake-up signal further including group or individual identification information, the class III tag (passive slave node) or the class IV tag (active slave node) being configured to switch from the sleep state to an identification information detection state upon reception of the wake-up signal, the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node), in the identification information detection state, being configured to switch to a control data reception state for receiving control data when the wake-up signal includes identification information identifying the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node), respectively, and the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node), in the identification information detection state, being configured to switch to the sleep state if the wake-up signal does not include said identification information identifying the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node) as suggested by Reis et al. because it allows tags the class IV tag (active slave node) or the class III tag (passive slave node) to conserve power by switching to sleep mode if not identified by address information.

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 10/583,098

Art Unit: 2466

4. Claim 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrender et al. (US 2005/0156039) in view of Tiernay et al. (US 2001/0050922), and in view of Rotzoll (US 5790946), as applied to the parent claims, and further in view of Herrmann et al. (US 2003/0151513).

In reference to claim 41

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll teaches a system that covers substantially all limitations of the parent claim.

The combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll does not teach that the master node is connected to a bridge providing a wireless or wired communication link to at least one other master node.

In Figure 2, Hermann et al. teaches that a cluster head (*master node*) is connected to a bridge providing a wireless or wired communication link to at least one other master module. (paragraphs 0026-0028)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of the combination of Carrender et al., Tiernay et al., and Rotzoll to include the reader 101 (master node being connected to a bridge providing a wireless or wired communication link to at least one other master node as suggested by Herrmann et al. because it allows an extended communication range of the network.

Art Unit: 2466

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are:

US 2003/0104848

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN ROBERTS whose telephone number is (571)272-3095. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-7:30.

Art Unit: 2466

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL RYMAN can be reached on (571) 272-3152. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BSR 11/08/2009

/Daniel J. Ryman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2466